

REMARKS

The non-final office action of March 30, 2011, ("Office Action") has been carefully reviewed and the remarks that follow are responsive thereto. By this paper, claims 1, 2, 4, 14, 15, 18, 20-23, 30, 32, 34-37, 42-44, 47, 48, 49, 55, 56, 58, 60 and 62 have been amended. Claim 61 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Claims 63 and 64 have been added. No new matter has been introduced. Claims 10-28, 30, 32-56, 58-60, and 62-64 are pending upon entry of this paper. Reconsideration and allowance of the instant application are respectfully requested.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-8, 10-12, 17-18, 28, 30, 32, 35-37, 42-51, 54-56, and 58-62 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,371,734 ("Fischer") in view of U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0120279 ("Huckins") and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,110,419 ("Linander").

Claims 13-16, 19-27, 33-34, and 52-53 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer in view of allegedly well-known prior art (MPEP § 2144.03).

For the reasons set forth below, Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

Amended independent claim 1 recites a method comprising "receiving bursts of a digital broadband broadcast transmission, said bursts providing information and utilizing at least a part of a channel bandwidth, wherein said bursts are received at a first bit rate and at least one of said bursts comprises data of a service that is based on a second bit rate smaller than the first bit rate." Applicants respectfully submit that Fischer, Huckins, and Linander, whether applied alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest such features.

For example, Fischer, Huckins, and Linander all fail to disclose "wherein said bursts are received at a first bit rate and at least one of said bursts comprises data of a service that is based on a second bit rate smaller than the first bit rate," as recited in claim 1. At best, Fischer states:

In addition, the MAC protocol of the present invention avoids many of the disadvantages associated with the inefficiencies of LAN-type burst communications in TDMA, the high overhead requirements for communications in PRMA, and the problems of avoiding collisions and saturation that affect CSMA. Further still, the present invention provides a MAC protocol which may be very effectively implemented with communicator stations used with portable computers, because it obtains significant reductions in battery power

drain by permitting the receivers as well as the transmitters of the communicator stations to be powered off during a majority of the time, but selectively and predictably powered on to send or receive relevant communications.

Fischer at col. 5, lines 19-33. In addition, Fischer states:

The hub establishes repeating communication cycles, each of which has intervals during which the hub and the remotes transmit and receive frames. The hub transmits control information to the remotes to establish the communication cycle and to establish a plurality of predeterminable intervals during each communication cycle. These intervals allow the hub to transmit frames to the remotes, allow the remotes to transmit frames to the hub, and allow each remote to anticipate receiving frames from the hub. Due to the defined intervals of the communication cycle and the information conveyed by the hub, the remotes are able to power off their transmitters during times other than those intervals when the remote is allowed to transmit frames to the hub. In addition, and very significantly, the remotes are able to power off their receivers during times other than those intervals when the remote is expected to receive frames from the hub. Thus, the control information and the communication cycle conserve considerable power because the receivers and transmitters of the remotes may remain powered off for a considerable portion of time without degrading communications.

Fischer at col. 5, lines 44-66. Thus, even assuming, without conceding, that Fischer discloses a burst, Fischer lacks any teaching or suggestion of “wherein said bursts are received at a first bit rate and at least one of said bursts comprises data of a service that is based on a second bit rate smaller than the first bit rate,” as recited in claim 1. Put simply, Fischer’s general description of communications using its medium access control (MAC) protocol do not amount to bursts that are received at a first bit rate and at least one burst comprising data of a service that is based on a second bit rate smaller than the first bit rate. Huckins and Linander are similarly deficient. Thus, even assuming, without conceding, that these references are properly combinable, no combination thereof would have resulted in the features recited in claim 1 because no combination thereof would have included “wherein said bursts are received at a first bit rate and at least one of said bursts comprises data of a service that is based on a second bit rate smaller than the first bit rate.”

For at least these reasons, amended independent claim 1 is distinguishable over the cited prior art. In addition, amended independent claims 30, 42, 48, and 56 recite similar features as claim 1, and thus, claims 30, 42, 48, and 56 are distinguishable for substantially the same reasons as claim 1.

Claims 2-8, 10-28, 32-37, 43-47, 49-55, and 58-62 ultimately depend from one of amended independent claims 1, 30, 42, 48, and 56, and therefore are distinguishable over the cited prior art by virtue of their dependence and further in view of the various features recited therein.

For example, claim 3 recites the feature of “wherein at least one of said bursts comprises a time sliced elementary stream, and said method further comprises identifying at least one time sliced elementary stream carried over a broadband network.” The Office Action asserts, at page 11, that Fischer discloses these features at col. 5, lines 9-25 and 47-66. While these portions of Fischer generally describe a medium access control (MAC) protocol whereby “[t]he hub transmits control information to the remotes to establish the communication cycle and to establish a plurality of predeterminable intervals during each communication cycle,” *see* Fischer at col. 5, lines 34-66, these portions do not describe the feature of “wherein at least one of said bursts comprises a time sliced elementary stream, and said method further comprises identifying at least one time sliced elementary stream carried over a broadband network,” as recited in claim 3. For at least these additional reasons, claim 3 is distinguishable over the cited prior art.

New Claims 63 and 64

Claims 63 and 64 have been added. Support for these new claims can be found throughout the originally filed specification, claims and figures. For example, support for claim 63 can be found at paragraphs [0034] and [0035] of the present application’s printed publication (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0258324 A1). Support for claim 64 can be found, for example, at paragraphs [0034], [0035], and [0044]-[0060]. While these claims have not yet been rejected, the following comments are in support of these claims. Claim 63 is allowable over the cited documents for at least the same reasons its respective base claim, and further in view of the various novel and non-obvious features recited therein. Claim 64, while different in scope, recites features that are similar to those discussed above with respect to claim, and is allowable for the same reasons as claim 1.

CONCLUSION

All issues having been addressed, Applicants respectfully submit that the instant application is in condition for allowance, and respectfully solicit prompt notification of the same. However, if for any reason the Examiner believes the application is not in condition for allowance or if there are any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at (202) 824-3000.

Respectfully submitted,

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.

Date: June 22, 2011

By: /Evan M. Clark/
Evan M. Clark
Registration No. 64,836
1100 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-4051
(202) 824-3000